

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/832,644	04/11/2001	Steven Dickinson Potter	-	1632		
7:	590 10/29/	002				
Steven D. Pot	ter	EXAM	EXAMINER			
26 Rand Place Bedford, MA	01730	RESTIFO, JEFFREY J				
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			3618			

DATE MAILED: 10/29/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u> </u>		Application	No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/832,644		POTTER, STEVEN DICKINSON				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Jeffrey J. Re	estifo	3618				
	- The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the c	cover sheet with the	correspondence ad	dress			
Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status 1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 07.	August 2002						
2a)⊠		nis action is n						
· -	·—			prosecution as to t	he merits is			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>14-26</u> is/are pending in the application.								
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>18,23,and 26</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>14-17,19-22,and 24-2</u> is/are rejected.								
/	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election red	quirement.					
Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 11 April 2001 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 11 April 2001 Is/are: a) ☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:								
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.								
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.								
Attachment(s)								
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	:	4) Interview Summa 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:	ry (PTO-413) Paper N I Patent Application (P				

Application/Control Number: 09/832,644

Art Unit: 3618

DETAILED ACTION

Acknowledgments

1. Acknowledgment is made of the change of address and amendment filed 8/7/02.

Election/Restrictions

2. Claims 18, 23, and 26 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species B, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in Paper No. 2.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 14-17, 24, and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yu (5,428,022) and in further view of Namiki (6,428,022).

Yu discloses a skateboard comprising front and rear footboards (20), an elongated strut (10), and pivot joints (11) for allowing the foot boards to pivot relative to the strut, as shown in figures 1-4. Yu does not disclose the skateboard as only having a single wheel at either end rather than a pair of wheels. Namiki dos disclose a skateboard (S) as having only a single wheel (18,20) at either end of the skateboard in order to allow increased tilting of the board, as shown in figures 1-4. It would have been

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/832,644

Art Unit: 3618

obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have provided the pivoting skateboard of Yu with the single wheels of Namiki in order to allow the skateboard to tilt at greater angles allow a decrease in turning radius.

5. Claims 19-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yu and Namiki, as applied to claims 14-17, 24, and 25, and in further view of Sheldon (4,082,306).

Neither Yu nor Namiki disclose the strut as being a torsion bar. Sheldon does disclose a skateboard as having a torsion bar 30 for allowing torsional rotation between front and rear footboards (10,11), as shown in figures 1-4. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have provided the pivoting skateboard of Yu and Namiki with the torsion bar of Sheldon in order to allow the footboards to tilt relative to each other and further decrease turning radius.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 14-26 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. The applicant's amendment has caused the examiner to add the Namiki reference to the rejection.

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Tipton, Killian, and Attey all disclose skateboards with only two wheels.

Application/Control Number: 09/832,644

Art Unit: 3618

8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeffrey J. Restifo whose telephone number is (703) 305-0579. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (10:00-6:00), alternate Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian L. Johnson can be reached on (703) 308-0885. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9326 for regular communications and (703) 872-9327 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-

1113.

Jeffrey J. Restifo Examiner Art Unit 3618

October 1'8, 2002

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600